

The Stockpiling and Destruction of Chemical Weapons

MMUN Press Corps

The Wall Street Journal

By: Sebastian Rodriguez, UN Correspondent

The Wall Street Journal was fortunate enough to visit DISEC 1 again. Today's topic at their committee was the stockpiling of chemical weapons and their destruction. Let us explain what the delegates had to say.

There was informal consultation going on in the committee room for 30 minutes. While the WSJ was there, we asked some delegates what their stance on chemical weapons was. One delegate said they were in favor of chemical weapons, "to stop cocaine crops in Colombia and Peru." The WSJ asked Russia about the topic and the delegate said their position was, "to store them in a safe and friendly environment, which will be in destruction facilities in the Asia part of Russia."

Walking around the committee, the WSJ heard the delegations from Russian and Equatorial Guinea debating about the USA. Russia was arguing with the other delegate about how the US postponed the destruction of their chemical weapons three times. Russia and Equatorial Guinea continued debating until the delegate from Equatorial Guinea said that Russia had said earlier that they got rid of their chemical weapons but they also said they want to put them in a safe environment, pointing out a lie.

Israel was saying that they were using their chemical weapons for defense against Palestine. Sweden countered saying that, "chemical weapons were illegal during war." Most other countries asked Israel if they were not at war, would they destroy their chemical weapons. Israel, in response, said that they would keep them because they might need them again.

The Wall Street Journal believes the debates in the DISEC 1 committee were important to the topic of the stockpiling and destruction of chemical weapons. One group got everyone's attention and they were connection ideas and passing notes. The debate and topic then started to cool down and everyone became calm.